

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,382	HERMET ET AL	
	Examiner TAMIKO D. BELLAMY	Art Unit 2856	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>TAMIKO D. BELLAMY</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Barry Kelmachter</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>18 June 2010</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
NA			
Claims discussed:			
24 and 32			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The examiner suggested amending claims 24 and 32 to change intermediate sampling chamber to –at least one intermediate sampling chambers</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			